



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,356	08/01/2003	John P. Romeo	064198.0171 (SS00356)	3704	
31625 75	590 05/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			ESCALANTE, OVIDIO		
PATENT DEPARTMENT					
98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX	•		2645		

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Y(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,			
		10/633,356	ROMEO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ovidio Escalante	2645				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	>			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communice period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing yeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 01 August 2003.					
·	_	☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applied a) Of the above claim(s) is/are well claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	• •	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doces. 2. Certified copies of the priority doces. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	e			
Attachmen	• •	_					
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO or No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/633,356 Page 2

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Uppaluru US patent 5,915,001.

Regarding claim 20, Uppaluru teaches a method of providing a user with access to an Internet portal, (abstract), comprising the steps of:

providing the user with access to the portal via the Internet, (fig. 1; col. 2, lines 22-41); providing the user with access to the same portal content via a calling card number placed on the public switched telephone network (PSTN), (col. 9, lines 57-64);

providing means for receiving user input representing preference selections via the Internet, (col. 19, lines 1-23; col. 17, lines 25-45); and

providing means for receiving user input representing preference selections via a calling card call on the PSTN, (col. 19, lines 1-23).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/633,356

Art Unit: 2645

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uppaluru in view of Enzmann et al. US Patent 6,320,946.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Uppaluru teaches a method of providing a user with access to the content of an Internet portal, using the public switched telephone network (PSTN) and a modemless connection, (abstract; fig. 1; col. 2, lines 22-41), comprising the steps of:

receiving, at an interactive voice response (IVR) system, a telephone call from the user, dialed with a calling card number and incoming through the PSTN, (col. 9, lines 57-64);

for Internet portal access, performing the following steps:

Application/Control Number: 10/633,356

Art Unit: 2645

receiving IVR input from the user representing a password, (col. 3, lines 1-17; col. 19, lines 23);

providing the user with a preference selection menu, (col. 19, lines 1-23);

providing the user with a content selection menu, (col. 19, lines 1-23);

receiving IVR input from the user representing Internet content selection, (col. 19, lines 1-23);

communicating the content selection to an Internet server, 9col. 15, lines 12-19; col. 19, lines 33-45);

translating the Internet content from text format to audio data, (col. 8, lines 16-24); and

transmitting the audio data to the user via the PSTN, (col. 8, lines 16-24; col. 17, line 59-col. 18, line 28).

While Uppaluru teaches of performing voice calls with the calling card Uppaluru does not specifically teach recognizing input from the user to select between a voice call and Internet portal access.

In the same field of endeavor, Enzmann teaches recognizing input from the user to select between a voice call and Internet portal access and for a voice call, completing the telephone call to a destination telephone number, (abstract; col. 2, lines 6-17; col. 10, lines 23-39).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Uppaluru by providing access to telephony calls with the calling card so that the user can access both Internet and regular calls via the same

Application/Control Number: 10/633,356

Art Unit: 2645

access card. This will also simply billing since the user is charged for both services under one account.

Regarding claims 3 and 12, Uppaluru, as applied to claims 2 and 11, teaches wherein the receiving step is performed with a wire line call from the user, (col. 6, lines 6-22).

Regarding claims 4 and 13, Uppaluru, as applied to claims 2 and 11, teaches wherein the receiving step is performed with a wireless call from the user, (col. 6, lines 6-22).

Regarding claims 5 and 14, Uppaluru, as applied to claims 2 and 11, teaches of the format being text, Uppaluru does not specifically teaches wherein the text format is XML format.

In the same field of endeavor, Enzmann teaches wherein the text format is XML format, (col. 9, lines 12-28).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the format of Uppaluru to include XML as taught by Enzmann so that the web site creator can create customized tags that are specific for them and their customers and sot that any web page document that a calling party request can be retrieved for them.

Regarding claims 6 and 18, Uppaluru, as applied to claims 2 and 11, teaches wherein the IVR input is telephone keypad input, (col. 19, lines 6-7).

Regarding claims 7 and 19, Uppaluru, as applied to claims 2 and 11, teaches wherein the IVR input is voice input, (col. 19, lines 6-7).

Regarding claims 8,15 and 16, Uppaluru, as applied to claims 2 and 11, teaches wherein for Internet portal access, the step of receiving IVR input is followed by the steps of providing an IVR menu of Internet content selections and of receiving IVR input representing an Internet content selection, (col. 19, lines 1-23).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,356

translator, (col. 8, lines 16-24).

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 9, Uppaluru, as applied to claim 2, teaches wherein the Internet content is email messages, (col. 9, lines 48-56).

Regarding claims 10 and 17, Uppaluru, as applied to claims 2 and 11, teaches presenting the user with an option to change portal preferences and of receiving IVR input representing one or more preference settings, (col. 2, lines 42-52; fig. 5).

Regarding claim 11, Uppaluru teaches a system for accessing the content of an Internet portal database served by a portal server, using the public switched telephone network and a modemless connection, (col. 19, lines 1-23; abstract), comprising:

a text-to-speech translator for receiving Internet content from the Internet portal database and for translating text representing the Internet content to audio data, (col. 8, lines 16-24); and a interactive voice response (IVR) system operable to receive an incoming calling card call via the public switched telephone network (PSTN), (col. 9, lines 57-64), and to instruct the

portal server to retrieve the Internet content and deliver the Internet content to the text-to-speech

While Uppaluru teaches of performing voice calls with the calling card and using the card for Internet access, Uppaluru does not specifically teach recognizing whether the call is to be completed as a telephone call or for access to Internet content.

In the same field of endeavor, Enzmann teaches recognizing input from the user to select between a voice call and Internet portal access and for a voice call, completing the telephone call to a destination telephone number, (abstract; col. 2, lines 6-17; col. 10, lines 23-39).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Uppaluru by providing access to telephony calls

Art Unit: 2645

with the calling card so that the user can access both Internet and regular calls via the same access card. This will also simply billing since the user is charged for both services under one account.

Conclusion

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7537, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ovidio Escalante whose telephone number is 571-272-7537. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 6:30 to 4:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan S Tsang can be reached on 571-272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2645

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OVIDIO ESCALANTE

Ovidio Escalante

Examiner

Sus (scalante May 2, 2005

O.E./oe